# Singular kinetic equations

Xiangchan Zhu (A joint work with Zimo Hao, Xicheng Zhang and Rongchan Zhu) Chinese Academy of Science

2021.7.



Background and Motivations

# 2 Linear equation





# Motivation-(Mean field limit)

• Consider the following *N*-particle systems:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^i = V_t^i \mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathrm{d}V_t^i = b(Z_t^i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} K(X_t^i - X_t^j) + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}B_t^i, \end{cases}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., N,  $Z^{i} = (X^{i}, V^{i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ : position and velocity of particle number i  $B_{t}^{i}$ : independent Brownian motions b: the random environment depending on  $Z^{i}$ . K: interaction kernel.

# Motivation-(Mean field limit)

• Consider the following *N*-particle systems:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^i = V_t^i \mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathrm{d}V_t^i = b(Z_t^i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} K(X_t^i - X_t^j) + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}B_t^j, \end{cases}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., N,  $Z^{i} = (X^{i}, V^{i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ : position and velocity of particle number i  $B_{t}^{i}$ : independent Brownian motions b: the random environment depending on  $Z^{i}$ . K: interaction kernel.

• Formally, by Itô's formula, the limit *u* of the empirical measure  $u_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(x_i^i, V_i^i)}$  solves the following equation if  $\operatorname{div}_V b = 0$ 

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u, \quad u(0) = u_0, \tag{1}$$

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

# Motivation-(Mean field limit)

• Consider the following *N*-particle systems:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^i = V_t^i \mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathrm{d}V_t^i = b(Z_t^i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \mathcal{K}(X_t^i - X_t^j) + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}B_t^j, \end{cases}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., N,  $Z^{i} = (X^{i}, V^{i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ : position and velocity of particle number i  $B_{t}^{i}$ : independent Brownian motions b: the random environment depending on  $Z^{i}$ . K: interaction kernel.

• Formally, by Itô's formula, the limit *u* of the empirical measure  $u_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(x_i^i, V_i^i)}$  solves the following equation if  $\operatorname{div}_V b = 0$ 

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u, \quad u(0) = u_0, \tag{1}$$

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

• Problem: For b singular, (e.g. spatial white noise), global well-posedness of (1)?

# DDSDE

• When *b*, *K* are smooth, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (1) is the density of the following Distribution Dependent SDE(DDSDE):

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = b(Z_t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(X_t - y) \mu_t(dy) dt + dB_t \\ Z_0 \sim u_0 dx dv, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\mu_t$  is the distribution of  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  is a standard BM.

# DDSDE

• When *b*, *K* are smooth, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (1) is the density of the following Distribution Dependent SDE(DDSDE):

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = b(Z_t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(X_t - y) \mu_t(dy) dt + dB_t \\ Z_0 \sim u_0 dx dv, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\mu_t$  is the distribution of  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  is a standard BM.

• When *b* is regular: Jabin, Wang 16, Chaudru de Raynal 12, Zhang 18, Wang and Zhang, Chaudru de Raynal, Honoré, Menozii 18, Chen and Zhang 16, & Hao, Wu, Zhang 20

# DDSDE

• When *b*, *K* are smooth, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (1) is the density of the following Distribution Dependent SDE(DDSDE):

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = b(Z_t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(X_t - y) \mu_t(dy) dt + dB_t \\ Z_0 \sim u_0 dx dv, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\mu_t$  is the distribution of  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  is a standard BM.

- When *b* is regular: Jabin, Wang 16, Chaudru de Raynal 12, Zhang 18, Wang and Zhang, Chaudru de Raynal, Honoré, Menozii 18, Chen and Zhang 16, & Hao, Wu, Zhang 20
- Problem: For *b* singular, (e.g. spatial white noise), global well-posedness of (2)? Nonlinear martingale problem.

Consider the following equation

 $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$ with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u dv.$ 

۲

• Consider the following equation

• Consider the following equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_v u + \mathbf{K} * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

• For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$b \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}), f \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}),$$

where  $\rho_{\kappa}(x) := \langle x \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |x|^2)^{-\kappa/2}$ .

- Difficulty: Due to transport term v · ∇<sub>x</sub> we can gain <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> regularity in x direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of x and v is 3 : 1)
  - $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty} \mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}$  with  $\mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}$  anisotropic Besov space.

• Consider the following equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_v u + \mathbf{K} * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

• For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$b \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}), f \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}),$$

where  $\rho_{\kappa}(x) := \langle x \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |x|^2)^{-\kappa/2}$ .

• Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in x direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of x and v is 3:1)

 $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty} \mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}$  with  $\mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}$  anisotropic Besov space.

• (III-defined problem)  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  does not make sense since

$$\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha} \times \mathbf{C}_{a}^{\beta} \ni (f,g) \rightarrow fg \in \mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$$
 only if  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ .

• Consider the following equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_v u + \mathbf{K} * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

• For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$b \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}), f \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}),$$

where  $\rho_{\kappa}(x) := \langle x \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |x|^2)^{-\kappa/2}$ .

• Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in x direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of x and v is 3:1)

 $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty} \mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}$  with  $\mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}$  anisotropic Besov space.

• (III-defined problem)  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  does not make sense since

$$\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha} \times \mathbf{C}_{a}^{\beta} \ni (f,g) \rightarrow fg \in \mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$$
 only if  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ .

 Similar difficulty as in singular SPDEs: Hairer 14 the theory of regularity structures Gubinelli, Imkeller and Perkowski 15 : paracontrolled distribution method

• Consider the following equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_v u + \mathbf{K} * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

• For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$b \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}), f \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa}),$$

where  $\rho_{\kappa}(x) := \langle x \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |x|^2)^{-\kappa/2}$ .

• Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in x direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of x and v is 3:1)

 $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{2-\alpha}_{a}$  with  $\mathbf{C}^{2-\alpha}_{a}$  anisotropic Besov space.

• (III-defined problem)  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  does not make sense since

$$\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha} \times \mathbf{C}_{a}^{\beta} \ni (f,g) \rightarrow fg \in \mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$$
 only if  $\alpha + \beta > 0$ .

- Similar difficulty as in singular SPDEs: Hairer 14 the theory of regularity structures Gubinelli, Imkeller and Perkowski 15 : paracontrolled distribution method
- Aim: develop paracontrolled calculus to get global well-posedness of (2)

# Linear equation

• For  $\lambda \ge 0$ , we consider the following linear PDE:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

• Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .

# Linear equation

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty:  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  is not well-defined

## Linear equation

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty:  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution

## Linear equation

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty:  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for (3)

## Linear equation

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty:  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for (3)
- Second difficulty: Loss of weight from  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$

## Linear equation

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty:  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for (3)
- Second difficulty: Loss of weight from  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$
- Solution: localization technique developed in [Zhang, Zhu, Z. 20] for  $\partial_t \Delta$

## Linear equation

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot \nabla_x + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$
(3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty:  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$  is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for (3)
- Second difficulty: Loss of weight from  $b \cdot \nabla_v u$
- Solution: localization technique developed in [Zhang, Zhu, Z. 20] for  $\partial_t \Delta$
- Aim: develop paracontrolled distribution method in the kinetic setting to obtain Schauder estimate for (3).

# Kinetic Hölder space and Schauder estimate

Define

$$\Gamma_t f(z) := f(\Gamma_t z), \ \ \Gamma_t z := (x + tv, v).$$

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and T > 0. Define

$$\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{a}}(\rho) := \left\{ f: \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{a}}(\rho)} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{\mathcal{T}}} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\rho)} + \|f\|_{\mathbf{C}^{\alpha/2}_{\mathcal{T};\Gamma} L^{\infty}(\rho)} < \infty \right\},$$

where for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{C}^{\beta}_{T;\Gamma}L^{\infty}(\rho)} := \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} \|f(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\rho)} + \sup_{0 < |t-s| \leqslant 1} \frac{\|f(t) - \Gamma_{t-s}f(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\rho)}}{|t-s|^{\beta}}$$

# Kinetic Hölder space and Schauder estimate

Define

$$\Gamma_t f(z) := f(\Gamma_t z), \ \ \Gamma_t z := (x + tv, v).$$

11 ( ( )

( )

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and T > 0. Define

$$\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{T},a}(\rho) := \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{T},a}(\rho)} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{\mathcal{T}}} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}_{a}(\rho) + \|f\|_{\mathbf{c}^{\alpha/2}_{\mathcal{T};\Gamma} L^{\infty}(\rho)} < \infty \right\},$$

where for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{C}^{\beta}_{T;\Gamma}L^{\infty}(\rho)} := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|f(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\rho)} + \sup_{0 < |t-s| \leq 1} \frac{\|f(t) - \mathbf{1}_{t-s}f(s)\|_{L^{\infty}(\rho)}}{|t-s|^{\beta}}$$
  
Let  $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda} = (\mathscr{L}_{\lambda})^{-1}$ :

## Lemma 2.1

[Schauder estimates] Let  $\beta \in (0,2)$  and  $\theta \in (\beta,2]$ . For any  $q \in [\frac{2}{2-\theta},\infty]$  and T > 0, there is a constant  $C = C(d,\beta,\theta,q,T) > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \ge 0$  and  $f \in L^q_T \mathbf{C}_a^{-\beta}(\rho)$ ,

$$\|\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}f\|_{\mathbb{S}^{\theta-\beta}_{T,a}(\rho)} \lesssim_{\mathcal{C}} (\lambda \vee 1)^{\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-1} \|f\|_{L^{q}_{T}\mathbf{C}^{-\beta}_{a}(\rho)}.$$

# Paraproducts

• Bony's decomposition:  $f = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f$ ,  $\widehat{\Delta_i f} = \phi_i^a \hat{f}$ ,  $\{\phi_i^a\}_{i \ge -1}$ ,

$$fg = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f \sum_{j \ge 0} \Delta_j g = f \prec g + f \circ g + f \succ g,$$

where

$$f \prec g = g \succ f := \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{i < j - 1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g$$

 $f \circ g := \sum_{|i-j| \leqslant 1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g, \,\,\, extsf{Well-defined for } lpha + eta > 0.$ 

# Paraproducts

• Bony's decomposition: 
$$f = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f$$
,  $\Delta_i \tilde{f} = \phi_i^a \tilde{f}$ ,  $\{\phi_i^a\}_{i \ge -1}$ ,

$$fg = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f \sum_{j \ge 0} \Delta_j g = f \prec g + f \circ g + f \succ g,$$

where

$$f \prec g = g \succ f := \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{i < j-1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g$$

 $f \circ g := \sum_{|i-j| \leqslant 1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g, \text{ Well-defined for } \alpha + \beta > 0.$ 

•  $f \prec g$  always well defined but regularity not better than g.

## Paraproducts

• Bony's decomposition: 
$$f = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f$$
,  $\Delta_i \tilde{f} = \phi_i^a \tilde{f}$ ,  $\{\phi_i^a\}_{i \ge -1}$ ,

$$fg = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f \sum_{j \ge 0} \Delta_j g = f \prec g + f \circ g + f \succ g,$$

where

$$f \prec g = g \succ f := \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{i < j-1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g$$

 $f \circ g := \sum_{|i-j| \leqslant 1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g, \text{ Well-defined for } \alpha + \beta > 0.$ 

- $f \prec g$  always well defined but regularity not better than g.
- $f \succ g$ ,  $f \circ g$  regularity become better if f is regular.

# Paracontrolled solution to linear PDE



۲

# Paracontrolled solution to linear PDE

$$\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f = \underbrace{\nabla_{v} u \prec b}_{\text{bad term}} + \nabla u \succ b + \underbrace{b \circ \nabla_{v} u}_{\text{not well defined}} + f$$

Paracontrolled solution:

 $u = \nabla_{v} u \prec \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b + \underbrace{u^{\sharp}}_{\text{regular term}} + \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} f$ , paracontrolled ansatz

$$u^{\sharp} = \mathscr{I}_{\lambda}(\nabla_{v}u \succ b + \frac{b}{o} \circ \nabla_{v}u) - [\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}, \nabla_{v}u \prec]b.$$

# Commutator estimate for kinetic operator

Let  $P_t$  be the kinetic semigroup.

Lemma 2.2

For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$ ,  $\delta \ge 0$ ,  $j \ge -1$ ,

 $\|\Delta_{j}[P_{t}(f \prec g) - (\Gamma_{t}f \prec P_{t}g)]\|_{L^{\infty}(\rho_{1}\rho_{2})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} 2^{-(\alpha+\beta+\delta)j} \|f\|_{\mathbf{c}_{a}^{\alpha}(\rho_{1})} \|g\|_{\mathbf{c}_{a}^{\beta}(\rho_{2})}.$ 

# Commutator estimate for kinetic operator

Let  $P_t$  be the kinetic semigroup.

Lemma 2.2

For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$ ,  $\delta \ge 0$ ,  $j \ge -1$ ,

$$\|\Delta_j[P_t(f\prec g)-({\mathop{{\Gamma_t}}} f\prec P_tg)]\|_{L^\infty(\rho_1\rho_2)}\lesssim t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}2^{-(\alpha+\beta+\delta)j}\|f\|_{{\mathbf C}^\alpha_a(\rho_1)}\|g\|_{{\mathbf C}^\beta_a(\rho_2)}.$$

 $\Rightarrow$ 

Lemma 2.3

Commutator estimate

$$\|[\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}, f \prec]g\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha+\beta+2}_{a}(\rho_{1}\rho_{2}) \lesssim_{\mathbb{H}} f\|_{\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{T,a}(\rho_{1})} \|g\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}} \mathbf{c}^{\beta}_{a}(\rho_{2}).$$

 $\Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{C}_a^{2-\alpha}(\rho_{\delta}), u^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{C}_a^{3-2\alpha}(\rho_{\delta})$ 

(4)

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

• If  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b, b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} f \in L^{\infty}_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

If b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>b, b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>f ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) ⇒ b ∘ ∇u ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

- If b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>b, b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>f ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) ⇒ b ∘ ∇u ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz
- Let *b* be a Gaussian field with the following covariance:

$$\mathbb{E}(b(g_1)b(g_2)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \hat{g}_1(\zeta) \, \hat{g}_2(-\zeta) \mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta).$$

Assumption:  $\mu$  is symmetric in second variable and for some  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$ ,

$$\sup_{\zeta'\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta'+\zeta|_{a})^{2\beta}}<\infty.$$

Probabilistic calculation  $\Rightarrow b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b \in L^{\infty}_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

- If b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>b, b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>f ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) ⇒ b ∘ ∇u ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz
- Let *b* be a Gaussian field with the following covariance:

$$\mathbb{E}ig(b(g_1)b(g_2)ig) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \hat{g}_1(\zeta)\,\hat{g}_2(-\zeta)\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta).$$

Assumption:  $\mu$  is symmetric in second variable and for some  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$ ,

$$\sup_{\zeta'\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta'+\zeta|_a)^{2\beta}}<\infty.$$

Probabilistic calculation  $\Rightarrow b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda b \in L^{\infty}_T C^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

Interesting point: 0th Wiener chaos is not zero but there's no renormalization term

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

- If b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>b, b ∘ ∇<sub>ν</sub> 𝒢<sub>λ</sub>f ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) ⇒ b ∘ ∇u ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub> C<sup>1-2α</sup><sub>a</sub>(ρ<sub>κ</sub>) by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz
- Let *b* be a Gaussian field with the following covariance:

$$\mathbb{E}(b(g_1)b(g_2)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \hat{g}_1(\zeta) \, \hat{g}_2(-\zeta) \mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta).$$

Assumption:  $\mu$  is symmetric in second variable and for some  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$ ,

$$\sup_{\zeta'\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta'+\zeta|_{a})^{2\beta}}<\infty.$$

Probabilistic calculation  $\Rightarrow b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b \in L^{\infty}_T C^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

Interesting point: 0th Wiener chaos is not zero but there's no renormalization term

### Theorem 1

Let  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\vartheta := \frac{9}{2-3\alpha}$  and  $\delta := (2\vartheta + 2)\kappa \leq 1$ . For any T > 0, (b, f) as above,  $\exists !$  paracontrolled solution  $(u, u^{\sharp})$  to PDE (3) such that  $||u||_{C_T} \mathbf{c}_T^{2-\alpha}(\rho_{\delta}) + ||u^{\sharp}||_{C_T} \mathbf{c}_T^{3-2\alpha}(\rho_{2\delta}) \lesssim C(b, f)$ .

# Nonlinear equation

# Nonlinear mean field equation

Consider the following

۲

$$\mathscr{L} u = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{K} * \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}}.$$

Here  $\langle u \rangle(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t,x,v) dv$ . Assume that

 $K \in \cup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}_{x}^{\beta/3}, b \circ \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}_{a}^{1 - 2\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

# Nonlinear mean field equation

Consider the following

$$\mathscr{L} u = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{K} * \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}}.$$

Here  $\langle u \rangle(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t,x,v) dv$ . Assume that

$$K \in \cup_{eta > lpha - 1} \mathbf{C}_{x}^{eta/3}, b \circ 
abla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}_{a}^{1-2lpha}(
ho_{\kappa})$$

## Theorem 2

Let  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\kappa$  be small enough so that  $\delta := 2(\frac{9}{2-3\alpha} + 1)\kappa < 1$ .

- for any probability density u<sub>0</sub> ∈ L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)∩C<sup>γ</sup><sub>a</sub>, γ > 1+α, ∃ at least a probability density paracontrolled solution u ∈ L<sup>∞</sup><sub>T</sub>(C<sup>2-α</sup>(ρ<sub>δ</sub>)) to nonlinear mean field equation.
- If in addition that K is bounded, then for any initial data  $u_0 \in L^1(\rho_0) \cap \mathbf{C}_a^{\gamma}$  with  $e^{-\rho_0} \in L^1$  satisfying  $H(u_0) := \int u_0 \ln u_0 < \infty$ , the solution is unique.

• A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate ⇒ ||u(t)||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub> ≤ C ||φ||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub>

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate ⇒ ||u(t)||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub> ≤ C ||φ||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub>
- $H(\varphi) < \infty$  by entropy estimate  $\Rightarrow H(u(t)) + \|\nabla_v u\|_{L^2_t L^1}^2 \leq H(\varphi)$ .

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate ⇒ ||u(t)||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub> ≤ C ||φ||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub>
- $H(\varphi) < \infty$  by entropy estimate  $\Rightarrow H(u(t)) + \|\nabla_{v} u\|_{L^{2}_{r}L^{1}}^{2} \leq H(\varphi).$
- Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate ⇒ ||u(t)||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub> ≤ C ||φ||<sub>L<sup>1</sup>(ρ<sub>0</sub>)</sub>
- *H*(φ) < ∞ by entropy estimate ⇒ *H*(*u*(*t*)) + ||∇<sub>ν</sub>*u*||<sup>2</sup><sub>L<sup>2</sup><sub>t</sub>L<sup>1</sup></sub> ≤ *H*(φ).
- Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier
- Uniqueness: Linear approximation and a priori estimate of ||∇<sub>ν</sub> u||<sup>2</sup><sub>L<sup>1</sup><sub>t</sub>L<sup>1</sup></sub> and L<sup>1</sup> estimate

# Singular DDSDE

#### Singular DDSDE

# Singular DDSDE

• Consider the following kinetic DDSDE with singular drift:

$$dX_{t} = V_{t}dt, \ dV_{t} = b(X_{t}, V_{t})dt + (K * \mu_{X_{t}})(X_{t})dt + \sqrt{2}dB_{t},$$
(5)

- *B<sub>t</sub>*: a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion
- $\mu_{X_t}$ : law of  $X_t$

• 
$$K * \mu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x - y) \mu(dy).$$

• *b* is singular

Problem: How to understand (5)?

Consider the following linear equation for given  $\mu : [0, T] \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ 

$$(\partial_t + \Delta_v + v \cdot \nabla_x)u + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \mu_t \cdot \nabla_v u = f, \ u(T) = \varphi.$$
(6)

## Definition 4.1

(Martingale problem) Let  $\delta > 0$ . A probability measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_T)$  is called a martingale solution to SDE (5) starting from  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , if  $\mathbb{P} \circ Z_0^{-1} = \nu$  and for all  $f \in C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_a^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  with some  $\gamma > 1 + \alpha$  and  $\mu_t := \mathbb{P} \circ X_t^{-1}$ ,

$$M_t := u_f^{\mu}(t, Z_t) - u_f^{\mu}(0, Z_0) - \int_0^t f(s, Z_s) \mathrm{d}s$$

is a martingale under  $\mathbb{P}$  with respect to  $(\mathscr{B}_t)$ . Here  $u_t^{\mu}$  is a solution to (6).

## Main results

## Theorem 3

Suppose that  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}_a^{1-2\alpha}(\rho_\kappa)$  and  $K \in \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}_a^{\beta}$ . Then there exists at least one martingale solution  $\mathbb{P}$  to SDE (5). Moreover, if K is bounded measurable, then the solution is unique.

# Main results

## Theorem 3

Suppose that  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}_a^{1-2\alpha}(\rho_\kappa)$  and  $K \in \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}_a^{\beta}$ . Then there exists at least one martingale solution  $\mathbb{P}$  to SDE (5). Moreover, if K is bounded measurable, then the solution is unique.

Idea of proof

• Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier

## Main results

## Theorem 3

Suppose that  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}_a^{1-2\alpha}(\rho_\kappa)$  and  $K \in \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}_a^{\beta}$ . Then there exists at least one martingale solution  $\mathbb{P}$  to SDE (5). Moreover, if K is bounded measurable, then the solution is unique.

- Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier
- Uniqueness: First for K = 0 and Girsanov's tansformation

# Thank you !