# **Singular kinetic equations**

Xiangchan Zhu (A joint work with Zimo Hao, Xicheng Zhang and Rongchan Zhu) Chinese Academy of Science

<span id="page-0-0"></span>2021.7.



[Background and Motivations](#page-2-0)

# [Linear equation](#page-14-0)





# Motivation-(Mean field limit)

Consider the following *N*-particle systems:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^i = V_t^i dt, \\ dV_t^i = b(Z_t^i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} K(X_t^i - X_t^j) + \sqrt{2} dB_t^i, \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>where  $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ ,  $Z^i = (X^i, V^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ : position and velocity of particle number *i*  $B_t^i$ : independent Brownian motions *b*: the random enviroment depending on *Z i* . *K*: interaction kernel.

# Motivation-(Mean field limit)

Consider the following *N*-particle systems:

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^i = V_t^i dt, \\ dV_t^i = b(Z_t^i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} K(X_t^i - X_t^j) + \sqrt{2} dB_t^i, \end{cases}
$$

where  $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ ,  $Z^i = (X^i, V^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ : position and velocity of particle number *i*  $B_t^i$ : independent Brownian motions *b*: the random enviroment depending on *Z i* . *K*: interaction kernel.

Formally, by Itô's formula, the limit *u* of the empirical measure  $\mu_N:=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(X^i_t,V^i_t)}$ *t t* solves the following equation if  $div<sub>v</sub>b = 0$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u, \quad u(0) = u_0,
$$
 (1)

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

# Motivation-(Mean field limit)

Consider the following *N*-particle systems:

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^i = V_t^i dt, \\ dV_t^i = b(Z_t^i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} K(X_t^i - X_t^j) + \sqrt{2} dB_t^i, \end{cases}
$$

where  $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ ,  $Z^i = (X^i, V^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ : position and velocity of particle number *i*  $B_t^i$ : independent Brownian motions *b*: the random enviroment depending on *Z i* . *K*: interaction kernel.

Formally, by Itô's formula, the limit *u* of the empirical measure  $\mu_N:=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(X^i_t,V^i_t)}$ *t t* solves the following equation if  $div<sub>v</sub>b = 0$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u, \quad u(0) = u_0,
$$
 (1)

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

Problem: For *b* singular, (e.g. spatial white noise), global well-posedness of (1)?

# DDSDE

• When *b*, *K* are smooth, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation [\(1\)](#page-2-1) is the density of the following Distribution Dependent SDE(DDSDE):

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = b(Z_t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(X_t - y) \mu_t(dy) dt + dB_t \\ Z_0 \sim \mu_0 dx dv, \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>where  $\mu_t$  is the distribution of  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  is a standard BM.

# DDSDE

• When *b*, *K* are smooth, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation [\(1\)](#page-2-1) is the density of the following Distribution Dependent SDE(DDSDE):

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = b(Z_t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(X_t - y) \mu_t(dy) dt + dB_t \\ Z_0 \sim \mu_0 dx dv, \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>where  $\mu_t$  is the distribution of  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  is a standard BM.

When *b* is regular: Jabin, Wang 16, Chaudru de Raynal 12, Zhang 18, Wang and Zhang, Chaudru de Raynal, Honoré, Menozii 18, Chen and Zhang 16, & Hao, Wu, Zhang 20

# DDSDE

• When *b*, *K* are smooth, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation [\(1\)](#page-2-1) is the density of the following Distribution Dependent SDE(DDSDE):

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = b(Z_t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(X_t - y) \mu_t(dy) dt + dB_t \\ Z_0 \sim \mu_0 dx dv, \end{cases}
$$
 (2)

where  $\mu_t$  is the distribution of  $X_t$  and  $B_t$  is a standard BM.

- When *b* is regular: Jabin, Wang 16, Chaudru de Raynal 12, Zhang 18, Wang and Zhang, Chaudru de Raynal, Honoré, Menozii 18, Chen and Zhang 16, & Hao, Wu, Zhang 20
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Problem: For *b* singular, (e.g. spatial white noise), global well-posedness of (2)? Nonlinear martingale problem.

• Consider the following equation

<span id="page-8-0"></span> $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$ with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

• Consider the following equation

 $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$ with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ . For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$  $b \in L^{\infty}_{\tau} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa}), f \in L^{\infty}_{\tau} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa}),$ where  $\rho_\kappa(\mathsf{x}) := \langle \mathsf{x} \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |\mathsf{x}|^2)^{-\kappa/2}.$ 

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

• Consider the following equation

 $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$ 

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
b\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}_a^{-\alpha}(\rho_\kappa), f\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}_a^{-\alpha}(\rho_\kappa),
$$

where  $\rho_\kappa(\mathsf{x}) := \langle \mathsf{x} \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |\mathsf{x}|^2)^{-\kappa/2}.$ 

- Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in *x* direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of *x* and *v* is 3 : 1)
	- $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty}$ C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> with C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> anisotropic Besov space.

• Consider the following equation

 $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$ 

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$
b\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa), f\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa),
$$

where  $\rho_\kappa(\mathsf{x}) := \langle \mathsf{x} \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |\mathsf{x}|^2)^{-\kappa/2}.$ 

Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in *x* direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of *x* and *v* is 3 : 1)  $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty}$ C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> with C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> anisotropic Besov

space.

(Ill-defined problem) *b* · ∇*vu* does not make sense since

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha}\times\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\beta}\ni(f,g)\rightarrow fg\in\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha\wedge\beta}\text{ only if }\alpha+\beta>0.
$$

• Consider the following equation

 $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f$ ,  $u(0) = u_0$ .

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$
b\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa), f\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa),
$$

where  $\rho_\kappa(\mathsf{x}) := \langle \mathsf{x} \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |\mathsf{x}|^2)^{-\kappa/2}.$ 

Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in *x* direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of *x* and *v* is 3 : 1)  $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty}$ C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> with C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> anisotropic Besov

space.

(Ill-defined problem) *b* · ∇*vu* does not make sense since

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha}\times\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\beta}\ni(f,g)\rightarrow fg\in\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha\wedge\beta}\text{ only if }\alpha+\beta>0.
$$

• Similar difficulty as in singular SPDEs: Hairer 14 the theory of regularity structures Gubinelli, Imkeller and Perkowski 15 : paracontrolled distribution method

• Consider the following equation

 $\partial_t u = \Delta_v u + v \cdot \nabla_x u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_v u + f$ ,  $u(0) = u_0$ .

with  $\langle u \rangle = \int u \mathrm{d}v$ .

For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}), \kappa \in (0, 1),$ 

$$
b\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa), f\in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa),
$$

where  $\rho_\kappa(\mathsf{x}) := \langle \mathsf{x} \rangle^{-\kappa} := (1 + |\mathsf{x}|^2)^{-\kappa/2}.$ 

Difficulty: Due to transport term  $v \cdot \nabla_x$  we can gain  $\frac{2}{3}$  regularity in *x* direction by kinetic Schauder estimate (scaling of *x* and *v* is 3 : 1)  $\Rightarrow$  the best regularity of the solution is in  $L_T^{\infty}$ C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> with C<sub>a</sub><sup>2−α</sup> anisotropic Besov

space.

(Ill-defined problem) *b* · ∇*vu* does not make sense since

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha}\times\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\beta}\ni(f,g)\rightarrow fg\in\mathbf{C}_{a}^{\alpha\wedge\beta}\text{ only if }\alpha+\beta>0.
$$

- Similar difficulty as in singular SPDEs: Hairer 14 the theory of regularity structures Gubinelli, Imkeller and Perkowski 15 : paracontrolled distribution method
- Aim: develop paracontrolled calculus to get global well-posedness of (2)

# Linear equation

• For  $\lambda \geqslant 0$ , we consider the following linear PDE:

<span id="page-15-1"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .

## Linear equation

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty: *b* · ∇*vu* is not well-defined

## Linear equation

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty: *b* · ∇*vu* is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution

## Linear equation

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty: *b* · ∇*vu* is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>• Aim: Schauder estimate for [\(3\)](#page-15-1)

## Linear equation

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty: *b* · ∇*vu* is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for [\(3\)](#page-15-1)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>Second difficulty: Loss of weight from *b* · ∇*vu*

#### Linear equation

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty: *b* · ∇*vu* is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for [\(3\)](#page-15-1)
- Second difficulty: Loss of weight from *b* · ∇*vu*
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Solution: localization technique developed in [Zhang, Zhu, Z. 20] for ∂*<sup>t</sup>* − ∆

## Linear equation

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u := (\partial_t - \Delta_{v} - v \cdot \nabla_{x} + \lambda) u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f, \quad u(0) = u_0.
$$
 (3)

- Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\rho_{\kappa}$ ,  $(b, f) \in L^{\infty}_{T} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-\alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ .
- First difficulty: *b* · ∇*vu* is not well-defined
- Solution: Regularity structures/ Paracontrolled distribution
- Aim: Schauder estimate for [\(3\)](#page-15-1)
- Second difficulty: Loss of weight from *b* · ∇*vu*
- Solution: localization technique developed in [Zhang, Zhu, Z. 20] for ∂*<sup>t</sup>* − ∆
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>• Aim: develop paracontrolled distribution method in the kinetic setting to obtain Schauder estimate for [\(3\)](#page-15-1).

# Kinetic Hölder space and Schauder estimate

Define

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\Gamma_t f(z) := f(\Gamma_t z), \ \Gamma_t z := (x + tv, v).
$$

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and  $T > 0$ . Define

$$
\mathbb{S}_{7,a}^{\alpha}(\rho) := \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}_{7,a}^{\alpha}(\rho)} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}} \mathbf{c}_{a}^{\alpha}(\rho) + \|f\|_{\mathbf{C}_{T,\Gamma}^{\alpha/2}L^{\infty}(\rho)} < \infty \right\},\
$$

where for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$
||f||_{\mathbf{C}^{\beta}_{T;\Gamma}L^{\infty}(\rho)} := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||f(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\rho)} + \sup_{0 < |t-s| \leq 1} \frac{||f(t) - \Gamma_{t-s}f(s)||_{L^{\infty}(\rho)}}{|t-s|^{\beta}}.
$$

# Kinetic Hölder space and Schauder estimate

Define

$$
\Gamma_t f(z) := f(\Gamma_t z), \ \Gamma_t z := (x + tv, v).
$$

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$  and  $T > 0$ . Define

$$
\mathbb{S}_{T,a}^{\alpha}(\rho):=\Big\{f: \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}_{T,a}^{\alpha}(\rho)}:=\|f\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}}\mathbf{c}_{a}^{\alpha}(\rho)+\|f\|_{\mathbf{C}_{T,\Gamma}^{\alpha/2}L^{\infty}(\rho)}<\infty\Big\},
$$

where for  $\beta \in (0,1)$ ,

$$
||f||_{\mathbf{C}^{\beta}_{T;\Gamma}L^{\infty}(\rho)} := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||f(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\rho)} + \sup_{0 < |t-s| \leq 1} \frac{||f(t) - \Gamma_{t-s}f(s)||_{L^{\infty}(\rho)}}{|t-s|^{\beta}}.
$$
  
Let  $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda} = (\mathscr{L}_{\lambda})^{-1}$ :

#### Lemma 2.1

*[Schauder estimates] Let*  $\beta \in (0,2)$  *and*  $\theta \in (\beta,2]$ *. For any*  $q \in [\frac{2}{2-\theta},\infty]$  *and*  $T > 0$ *, there is a constant C*  $= C(d, \beta, \theta, q, T) > 0$  *such that for all*  $\lambda \geq 0$  *and*  $f \in L^q_T \mathbf{C}^{-\beta}_a(\rho),$ 

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\|\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}f\|_{{\mathbb S}^{\theta-\beta}_{T,a}(\rho)}\lesssim_C(\lambda\vee 1)^{\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{1}{q}-1}\|f\|_{L^q_T{\mathbf{C}}^{-\beta}_a(\rho)}.
$$

# **Paraproducts**

• Bony's decomposition: 
$$
f = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f
$$
,  $\widehat{\Delta_i f} = \phi_i^a \hat{f}$ ,  $\{\phi_i^a\}_{i \ge -1}$ ,

$$
fg=\sum_{i\geqslant -1}\Delta_i f\sum_{j\geqslant 0}\Delta_jg=f\prec g+f\circ g+f\succ g,
$$

where

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
f \prec g = g \succ f := \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sum_{i < j-1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g
$$

 $f\circ g:=\sum_{\alpha}^{}\Delta_{i}f\Delta_{j}g,~~$  Well-defined for  $\alpha+\beta>0.$ |*i*−*j*|61

# **Paraproducts**

• Bony's decomposition: 
$$
f = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f
$$
,  $\widehat{\Delta_i f} = \phi_i^a \hat{f}$ ,  $\{\phi_i^a\}_{i \ge -1}$ ,

$$
fg=\sum_{i\geqslant -1}\Delta_i f\sum_{j\geqslant 0}\Delta_jg=f\prec g+f\circ g+f\succ g,
$$

where

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
f \prec g = g \succ f := \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sum_{i < j-1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g
$$

 $f\circ g:=\sum_{\alpha}^{}\Delta_{i}f\Delta_{j}g,~~$  Well-defined for  $\alpha+\beta>0.$ |*i*−*j*|61

**•** *f*  $\prec$  *g* always well defined but regularity not better than *g*.

#### **Paraproducts**

• Bony's decomposition: 
$$
f = \sum_{i \ge -1} \Delta_i f
$$
,  $\widehat{\Delta_i f} = \phi_i^a \hat{f}$ ,  $\{\phi_i^a\}_{i \ge -1}$ ,

$$
fg=\sum_{i\geqslant -1}\Delta_i f\sum_{j\geqslant 0}\Delta_jg=f\prec g+f\circ g+f\succ g,
$$

where

<span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
f \prec g = g \succ f := \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sum_{i < j-1} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g
$$

 $f\circ g:=\sum_{\alpha}^{}\Delta_{i}f\Delta_{j}g,~~$  Well-defined for  $\alpha+\beta>0.$ |*i*−*j*|61

- **•** *f*  $\prec$  *g* always well defined but regularity not better than *g*.
- $f \succ g$ ,  $f \circ g$  regularity become better if *f* is regular.

# Paracontrolled solution to linear PDE

<span id="page-27-0"></span>

 $\bullet$ 

# Paracontrolled solution to linear PDE

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\lambda} u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + f = \underbrace{\nabla_{v} u \prec b}_{\text{bad term}} + \nabla u \succ b + \underbrace{b \circ \nabla_{v} u}_{\text{not well defined}} + f
$$

• Paracontrolled solution:

 $\bullet$ 

 $u = \nabla_v u \prec \mathscr{I}_\lambda b + \left( u^\sharp \right) + \mathscr{I}_\lambda f$ , paracontrolled ansatz |{z} regular term

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
u^{\sharp} = \mathscr{I}_{\lambda}(\nabla_{v} u \succ b + b \circ \nabla_{v} u) - [\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}, \nabla_{v} u \prec]b.
$$

## Commutator estimate for kinetic operator

Let *P<sup>t</sup>* be the kinetic semigroup.

Lemma 2.2

*For any*  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in (0, T]$ ,  $\delta \geqslant 0$ ,  $j \geqslant -1$ ,

<span id="page-29-0"></span> $\|\Delta_j[P_t(f\prec g)-(\Gamma_t f\prec P_t g)]\|_{L^\infty(\rho_1\rho_2)}\lesssim t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}2^{-(\alpha+\beta+\delta)j}\|f\|_{{\mathbf C}^\alpha_a(\rho_1)}\|g\|_{{\mathbf C}^\beta_a(\rho_2)}.$ 

# Commutator estimate for kinetic operator

Let *P<sup>t</sup>* be the kinetic semigroup.

Lemma 2.2

*For any*  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in (0, 7]$ ,  $\delta \geqslant 0$ ,  $j \geqslant -1$ ,

$$
\|\Delta_j[P_t(f\prec g)-(\Gamma_t f\prec P_t g)]\|_{L^\infty(\rho_1\rho_2)}\lesssim t^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}2^{-(\alpha+\beta+\delta)j}\|f\|_{{\mathbf C}^\alpha_a(\rho_1)}\|g\|_{{\mathbf C}^\beta_a(\rho_2)}.
$$

⇒

Lemma 2.3

*Commutator estimate*

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
\|[\mathscr{I}_{\lambda},f\prec]g\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}\mathbf{C}^{\alpha+\beta+2}_{a}(\rho_{1}\rho_{2})}\lesssim_{\parallel}f\|_{\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{T,a}(\rho_{1})}\|g\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}\mathbf{C}^{\beta}_{a}(\rho_{2})}.
$$
\n(4)

 $\Rightarrow$   $u \in C_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbf{C}_{a}^{2-\alpha}(\rho_{\delta}),$   $u^{\sharp} \in C_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbf{C}_{a}^{3-2\alpha}(\rho_{\delta})$ 

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

<span id="page-31-0"></span>If  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda b$ ,  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda f \in L^{\infty}_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$ 

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

<span id="page-32-0"></span>If  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda b, b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda f \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa) \Rightarrow b \circ \nabla u \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

- If  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda b, b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda f \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa) \Rightarrow b \circ \nabla u \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz
- Let *b* be a Gaussian field with the following covariance:

$$
\mathbb{E}\big(b(g_1)b(g_2)\big)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\hat{g}_1(\zeta)\,\hat{g}_2(-\zeta)\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta).
$$

Assumption:  $\mu$  is symmetric in second variable and for some  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}),$ 

<span id="page-33-0"></span>
$$
\sup_{\zeta' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta'+\zeta|_a)^{2\beta}} < \infty.
$$

Probabilistic calculation  $\Rightarrow b \circ \nabla_{v} \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b \in L^{\infty}_{\tau} C^{1-2\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

- If  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda b, b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda f \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa) \Rightarrow b \circ \nabla u \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz
- Let *b* be a Gaussian field with the following covariance:

$$
\mathbb{E}\big(b(g_1)b(g_2)\big)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\hat{g}_1(\zeta)\,\hat{g}_2(-\zeta)\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta).
$$

Assumption:  $\mu$  is symmetric in second variable and for some  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}),$ 

<span id="page-34-0"></span>
$$
\sup_{\zeta' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta'+\zeta|_a)^{2\beta}} < \infty.
$$

Probabilistic calculation  $\Rightarrow b \circ \nabla_{v} \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b \in L^{\infty}_{\tau} C^{1-2\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

Interesting point: 0th Wiener chaos is not zero but there's no renormalization term

# Renormalization and well-posedness of linear PDE

- If  $b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda b, b \circ \nabla_v \mathscr{I}_\lambda f \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa) \Rightarrow b \circ \nabla u \in L^\infty_T \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  by commutator estimate and paracontrolled ansatz
- Let *b* be a Gaussian field with the following covariance:

$$
\mathbb{E}\big(b(g_1)b(g_2)\big)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\hat{g}_1(\zeta)\,\hat{g}_2(-\zeta)\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta).
$$

Assumption:  $\mu$  is symmetric in second variable and for some  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}),$ 

<span id="page-35-0"></span>
$$
\sup_{\zeta' \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\mu(\mathrm{d}\zeta)}{(1+|\zeta'+\zeta|_a)^{2\beta}} < \infty.
$$

Probabilistic calculation  $\Rightarrow b \circ \nabla_{v} \mathscr{I}_{\lambda} b \in L^{\infty}_{\tau} C^{1-2\alpha}_{a}(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

Interesting point: 0th Wiener chaos is not zero but there's no renormalization term

#### Theorem 1

 $\mathcal{L}$ et  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\vartheta := \frac{9}{2-3\alpha}$  and  $\delta := (2\vartheta + 2)\kappa \leqslant 1$ . For any  $\mathcal{T} > 0$ ,  $(b, f)$  as above,  $\exists!$  $p$ aracontrolled solution  $(u, u^{\sharp})$  to PDE [\(3\)](#page-15-1) such that  $\|u\|_{C_T{\bf C}_T^2^{-\alpha}(\rho_\delta)}+\|u^{\sharp}\|_{C_T{\bf C}_T^3^{-2\alpha}(\rho_\delta)}\lesssim$  $C(b, f)$ .

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>**Nonlinear equation**

## Nonlinear mean field equation

• Consider the following

 $\bullet$ 

$$
\mathscr{L} u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_{v} u, \quad u(0) = u_{0}.
$$

Here  $\langle u \rangle (t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x, v) \mathrm{d}v.$  Assume that

<span id="page-37-0"></span> $\mathcal{K} \in \cup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{x}}^{\beta / 3}, b \circ \nabla_{\mathsf{v}} \mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{a}}^{1 - 2 \alpha}(\rho_{\kappa})$ 

## Nonlinear mean field equation

• Consider the following

$$
\mathscr{L} u = b \cdot \nabla_{v} u + K * \langle u \rangle \cdot \nabla_{v} u, \quad u(0) = u_{0}.
$$

Here  $\langle u \rangle (t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(t, x, v) \mathrm{d}v.$  Assume that

<span id="page-38-0"></span>
$$
\mathsf{K}\in \cup_{\beta>\alpha-1}\mathbf{C}_x^{\beta/3}, b\circ\nabla_v \mathscr{I}(b)\in \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)
$$

#### Theorem 2

 $\bullet$ 

Let  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3})$  and  $\kappa$  be small enough so that  $\delta := 2(\frac{9}{2-3\alpha} + 1)\kappa < 1$ *.* 

- for any probability density  $u_0\in L^1(\rho_0)\cap {\bf C}^\gamma_d$ ,  $\gamma>1+\alpha$ , ∃ at least a probability density  $\bm{\rho}$ aracontrolled solution  $\bm{\mathsf{u}}\in\mathsf{L}^\infty_\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{C}^{2-\alpha}(\rho_\delta))$  to nonlinear mean field equation.
- *If in addition that K is bounded, then for any initial data*  $u_0 \in L^1(\rho_0) \cap \mathbf{C}_a^{\gamma}$  *with*  $e^{-\rho_0} \in L^1$  satisfying  $H(u_0) := \int u_0 \ln u_0 < \infty$ , the solution is unique.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- <span id="page-40-0"></span>Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate  $\Rightarrow \|u(t)\|_{L^1(\rho_0)} \leqslant C \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\rho_0)}$

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate  $\Rightarrow \|u(t)\|_{L^1(\rho_0)} \leqslant C \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\rho_0)}$
- <span id="page-41-0"></span> $H(\varphi) < \infty$  by entropy estimate  $\Rightarrow H(u(t)) + ||\nabla_{v}u||^{2}_{L_{t}^{2}L^{1}} \leqslant H(\varphi).$

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate  $\Rightarrow \|u(t)\|_{L^1(\rho_0)} \leqslant C \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\rho_0)}$
- $H(\varphi) < \infty$  by entropy estimate  $\Rightarrow H(u(t)) + ||\nabla_{v}u||^{2}_{L_{t}^{2}L^{1}} \leqslant H(\varphi).$
- <span id="page-42-0"></span>Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier

- A priori estimate: Linear approximation and use Theorem 1
- Moment estimate of some SDE by Krylov's estimate  $\Rightarrow \|u(t)\|_{L^1(\rho_0)} \leqslant C \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\rho_0)}$
- $H(\varphi) < \infty$  by entropy estimate  $\Rightarrow H(u(t)) + ||\nabla_{v}u||^{2}_{L_{t}^{2}L^{1}} \leqslant H(\varphi).$
- Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier
- <span id="page-43-0"></span>Uniqueness: Linear approximation and a priori estimate of  $\|\nabla_{v} u\|_{L_{t}^{2}L^{1}}^{2}$  and  $L^{1}$  estimate

# <span id="page-44-0"></span>**Singular DDSDE**

#### [Singular DDSDE](#page-45-0)

# Singular DDSDE

Consider the following kinetic DDSDE with singular drift:

<span id="page-45-1"></span>
$$
dX_t = V_t dt, \ dV_t = b(X_t, V_t)dt + (K * \mu_{X_t})(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t,
$$
\n(5)

- *B<sup>t</sup>* : a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion
- $\mu_{X_t}$ : law of  $X_t$

• 
$$
K * \mu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x - y) \mu(dy)
$$
.

*b* is singular

Problem: How to understand [\(5\)](#page-45-1)?

Consider the following linear equation for given  $\mu : [0, T] \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ 

<span id="page-45-2"></span>
$$
(\partial_t + \Delta_v + v \cdot \nabla_x)u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + K * \mu_t \cdot \nabla_v u = f, \ u(T) = \varphi.
$$
 (6)

#### Definition 4.1

*(Martingale problem) Let*  $\delta > 0$ . A probability measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{T})$  is called a martingale *solution to SDE* [\(5\)](#page-45-1) *starting from*  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , if  $\mathbb{P}\circ Z_0^{-1} = \nu$  *and for all f*  $\in C_b([0, T] \times$  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ ),  $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\gamma_a(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  with some  $\gamma > 1 + \alpha$  and  $\mu_t := \mathbb{P} \circ X_t^{-1}$ ,

<span id="page-45-0"></span>
$$
M_t := u_t^{\mu}(t,Z_t) - u_t^{\mu}(0,Z_0) - \int_0^t f(s,Z_s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

is a martingale under  $\mathbb P$  with respect to  $(\mathscr B_t)$ . Here  $u^\mu_f$  is a solution to [\(6\)](#page-45-2).

#### Main results

#### Theorem 3

<span id="page-46-0"></span>*Suppose that b*  $\circ$   $\nabla_v$   $\mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  and  $K \in \cup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}^\beta_a$ . Then there exists at least *one martingale solution*  $\mathbb P$  *to SDE* [\(5\)](#page-45-1)*. Moreover, if K is bounded measurable, then the solution is unique.*

## Main results

#### Theorem 3

*Suppose that b*  $\circ$   $\nabla_v$   $\mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  and  $K \in \cup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}^\beta_a$ . Then there exists at least *one martingale solution*  $\mathbb P$  *to SDE* [\(5\)](#page-45-1)*. Moreover, if K is bounded measurable, then the solution is unique.*

Idea of proof

<span id="page-47-0"></span>Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier

## Main results

#### Theorem 3

*Suppose that b*  $\circ$   $\nabla_v$   $\mathscr{I}(b) \in \mathbf{C}^{1-2\alpha}_a(\rho_\kappa)$  and  $K \in \cup_{\beta > \alpha - 1} \mathbf{C}^\beta_a$ . Then there exists at least *one martingale solution*  $\mathbb P$  *to SDE* [\(5\)](#page-45-1)*. Moreover, if K is bounded measurable, then the solution is unique.*

- Existence: approximation by convolution with smooth modifier
- <span id="page-48-0"></span>• Uniqueness: First for  $K = 0$  and Girsanov's tansformation

# <span id="page-49-0"></span>Thank you !